

## **APPEALS**

*The following appeals have been received since my last report to Committee:*

<b>CODE NO.</b>	A/15/3005156 (1750)
<b>APP. NO.</b>	P/14/724/FUL
<b>APPELLANT</b>	MR A C PUCELLA
<b>SUBJECT OF APPEAL</b>	FIRST FLOOR EXTENSION OVER EXISTING GARAGE TO CREATE A BEDROOM & FACILITIES FOR DISABLED CHILD: 26 CLOS MANTEG BROADLANDS BRIDGEND
<b>PROCEDURE</b>	HOUSEHOLDER PILOT
<b>DECISION LEVEL</b>	DELEGATED OFFICER

The application was refused for the following reason:

The proposed development by virtue of its siting, scale, massing, bulk and design, would dominate and overshadow No.27 Clos Manteg to an unacceptable degree, thereby resulting in a significant loss of residential amenity, contrary to Policy SP2 of the adopted Bridgend Local Development Plan and Notes 1, 2 and 3 of Supplementary Planning Guidance 2: Householder Development.

---

<b>CODE NO.</b>	A/15/3007596 (1751)
<b>APP. NO.</b>	P/14/794/OUT
<b>APPELLANT</b>	MR G NORRIS
<b>SUBJECT OF APPEAL</b>	ERECTION OF 2 STOREY DWELLING WITH ACCESS DRIVEWAY AND GARAGE: FORMER PLAYGROUND FOUNTAIN ROAD ABERKENFIG
<b>PROCEDURE</b>	WRITTEN REPS
<b>DECISION LEVEL</b>	DELEGATED OFFICER

The application was refused for the following reason:

The site lies in the countryside and the proposal would constitute an undesirable intensification of development, would be prejudicial to the character of the area in which it is intended that the existing uses of land shall remain for the most part undisturbed, would be contrary to established national and local planning policies and would set an undesirable precedent for further applications for similar development in this area, contrary to Policy ENV1 of the Bridgend Local Development Plan.

---

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the report of the Corporate Director Communities be noted.

**MARK SHEPHARD**  
**CORPORATE DIRECTOR COMMUNITIES**

### **Background Papers**

See relevant application reference number.